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#### Abstract

We explore large-scale cosmic structure using the spatial distribution of 542 gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) having accurately measured positions and spectroscopic redshifts. Prominent cosmological clusters are identified in both the northern and southern galactic hemispheres (avoiding extinction effects in the plane of the Milky Way) using the bootstrap point-radius method. The northern galactic hemisphere contains a significant group of four GRBs in the redshift range $0.59 \leq z \leq 0.62$ (with a bootstrap probability of $p=0.012$ ) along with the previously identified Hercules-Corona Borealis Great Wall (in the revised redshift range $0.9 \leq z \leq 2.1 ; p=0.017$ ). The southern galactic hemisphere contains the previously identified Giant GRB Ring ( $p=$ 0.022 ) along with another possible cluster of seven to nine GRBs at $1.17 \leq z \leq 1.444$ ( $p=0.031$ ). Additionally, both the Hercules-Corona Borealis Great Wall and the Giant GRB Ring have become more prominent as the GRB sample size has grown. The approach used here underscores the potential value of GRB clustering as a probe of large-scale cosmic structure, complementary to galaxy and quasar clustering. Because of the vast scale on which GRB clustering provides valuable insights, it is important that optical GRB monitoring continues so that additional spectroscopic redshift measurements could be obtained.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Since their discovery, gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) have continued to pique the interest of scientists and captivate them with their enigmatic origins and remarkable energy outputs (Klebesadel, Strong \& Olson 1973). Despite the passage of decades, formulating a comprehensive theory that elucidates their genesis and satisfactorily explains every observed aspect remains an ongoing challenge (Pe'er 2015; Zhang 2018; Bošnjak, Barniol Duran \& Pe'er 2022).

GRBs are widely acknowledged as one of the most intense and brilliantly luminous phenomena in the cosmos. They are believed to result from either the implosion of massive stars (Woosley 1993; Woosley \& Bloom 2006) or from the merging of binary compact objects (Berger 2014). Because of their extreme luminosities, a thorough examination of their celestial coordinates, along with their intrinsic properties, holds promise to shed light on the Universe's largest scale structures (Meszaros 2006; Andrade et al. 2019; Horvath et al. 2020; Tugay \& Tarnopolski 2023).

The GRBs' angular distribution was also studied over the past few decades. Generally, GRBs have been found to be uniformly dis-
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tributed on the sky (Briggs et al. 1996; Balázs, Mészáros \& Horváth 1998; Balázs et al. 1999; Mészáros et al. 2000b; Magliocchetti, Ghirlanda \& Celotti 2003; Vavrek et al. 2008; Tarnopolski 2015, 2016, 2019, 2022; Andrade et al. 2019; Řípa \& Shafieloo 2019), although some subsamples appear to deviate from isotropy (Balázs, Mészáros \& Horváth 1998; Cline, Matthey \& Otwinowski 1999; Mészáros, Bagoly \& Vavrek 2000a; Mészáros et al. 2000b; Litvin et al. 2001; Magliocchetti, Ghirlanda \& Celotti 2003; Vavrek et al. 2008; Řípa \& Shafieloo 2017; Haslbauer, Kroupa \& Jerabkova 2023; Kumar Aluri et al. 2023). Apart from the Hercules-Corona Borealis Great Wall (HCBGW) identified by our group (Horváth, Hakkila \& Bagoly 2014; Horváth et al. 2015), one of the most significant recent developments in this field has been the detection of a large-scale structure in the redshift range of $0.78<z<0.86$, dubbed the 'Giant GRB Ring', which appears to consist of a circular arrangement of GRB positions at a distance of about 6 billion light-years (Balázs et al. 2015), although there have been some debates about the existence of that structure (Fujii 2022b). It appears to be somewhat smaller $(1.72 \mathrm{Gpc})$ than the HCBGW ( $2-3 \mathrm{Gpc}$ ), but its presence in the data has been confirmed by further, elaborate statistical analysis (Balázs, Rejtő \& Tusnády 2018). Although the physical and astrophysical nature of both structures are not conclusively understood (cf. the discussions in Balázs et al. 2015; Balázs, Rejtő \& Tusnády 2018),
their existence may provide a challenge to standard assumptions about universal homogeneity and isotropy (i.e. the cosmological principle; see however Li \& Lin 2015). This means that studying structures like these is of high scientific importance (Mandarakas et al. 2021; Marchã \& Browne 2021; Migkas et al. 2021; Fujii 2022a).

Aside from GRBs, other large structures have been discovered during the 21st century. These include the Sloan Great Wall (which is a giant filament of galaxies) with a size of $\sim 0.4 \mathrm{Gpc}$ (Gott III et al. 2005), the Huge Large Quasar Group with a size of 1.2 Gpc (Clowes et al. 2013), and the Giant Quasar Arc with a size of 1 Gpc (Lopez, Clowes \& Williger 2022). Both GRB-defined structures (the Giant GRB Ring and the HCBGW) exceed these in size.

Overall, these findings suggest that GRBs can serve as powerful probes of the large-scale structure of the Universe (Tugay \& Tarnopolski 2023), providing valuable information about the distribution of matter and the evolution of cosmic structures over time (Racz et al. 2017). Due to their large absolute brightness they can be observed at very large cosmological distances. Consequently, the study of their locations in the sky coupled with their properties can provide insights into the large-scale structure of the Universe.

## 2 DATA AND METHODS

In this study we use a data base of GRBs having measured positions on the celestial sphere, optical afterglows, and spectroscopic redshifts, a majority of which were detected by NASA's Swift and Fermi experiments. Here, we use the same data set of Horvath et al. (2022) and Bagoly et al. (2022), which is based on the data set found in Horvath et al. (2020). The redshifts have been primarily obtained from the Gamma-Ray Burst Online Index (GRBOX) data base; more than 500 spectroscopic redshifts have been measured for GRBs as of today. It should be noted that, while the data sets were derived from different sources in the public domain, the GRBOX data base heavily relies on notes from the Gamma-ray Coordination Network. GRBOX also relies on a publicly available data set compiled by Joachim Greiner, ${ }^{1}$ which provides extensive information on nearly all GRBs observed by any instrument. A thorough review was conducted during the assembly of the GRBOX catalogue data base ${ }^{2}$ to exclude observations with significant systematic uncertainties. The redshift data used in Bagoly et al. (2022), Horvath et al. (2022), and Horvath et al. (2020) exclusively consisted of spectroscopic redshifts, disregarding photometric redshifts and redshift estimates based on Ly-alpha limits because these data tend to introduce significant radial distance uncertainties. These uncertainties generally exceed several hundred Mpc.

We have supplemented the data from Horvath et al. (2022) and Bagoly et al. (2022) with new observations, obtained up until 2022 August 31, that have resulted in a total of 542 GRBs with accurate position and spectroscopic redshifts (up to $z=8.26$ ). We have used Joachim Greiner's table and relevant Gamma-ray Coordination Network messages to extend the data set so that it includes the most recent data.

### 2.1 The data set

The data set used here is the most extensive one currently available for global studies, containing precise GRB distances obtained from spectroscopic redshifts. It may be difficult to improve upon this data
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Figure 1. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of $\log 10(1+z)$ for GRBs in this study. Purple indicates the 262 GRBs found in the northern galactic sky, while green indicates the 280 GRBs found in the southern galactic sky. The difference between the two CDFs is insignificant (see the text).
set, since the peak rate of GRBs with spectroscopic redshifts was $\approx 120 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ after 2006, but has decreased rapidly since then, with each year yielding only $\approx 90$ per cent of the previous year's redshift observations. This trend has only been disrupted in recent years by a few dedicated optical campaigns, but still, the current rate of new redshift observations remains embarrassingly low, preventing the possibility of significant statistical advancements in the near future (Bagoly et al. 2022).

Two GRBs have been removed from the data set based on erroneous measurements. Bagoly et al. (2015) used the Spatial TwoPoint Correlation Function to identify an interesting neighbouring pair of GRBs (GRB 020819B and GRB 050803). These two GRBs created a prominent peak in the Spatial Two-Point Correlation Function at a distance of $\approx 56 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Interestingly, both GRBs' redshift values were later questioned in the literature (more than a decade after the events!). The Optically Unbiased GRB Host (TOUGH) survey measured a redshift of $z=3.5$ for the host galaxy of GRB 050803 which is completely inconsistent with the previous value of $z=0.422$ (Schulze et al. 2015). Similarly, Perley et al. (2017) analysed the spiral galaxy at a redshift of $z=0.41$ that was believed to be the host galaxy of GRB 020819B. By employing VLT MUSE and X-shooter observations, the authors concluded that the galaxy was an unrelated foreground galaxy. Consequently, given that both previous estimated distances are likely incorrect, we have removed these GRBs from the data set.

In the first three figures we demonstrate the distributions of spatial parameters. The cumulative distribution of the redshifts of the 542 GRBs can be seen in Fig. 1. Of these, 262 are found in the northern galactic hemisphere, while the remaining 280 are found in the southern galactic hemisphere. The two-sample KolmogorovSmirnov test of these redshift distributions shows no significant difference between the two hemispheres ( $D=0.098, p=0.151$ ). Fig. 2 shows the galactic longitude ( $l$ ) coordinate distributions for both hemispheres. Because the maximum deviation of these distributions is $D=0.082$, the two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test gives a $p$-value of $p=0.325$, indicating that we cannot reject the hypothesis that the two samples are drawn from the same distribution. For the galactic longitude (b) coordinates, the KS test result similarly shows the two hemisphere distributions are not significantly different ( $D=0.109, p=0.082$ ), see Fig. 3 .

The sky distribution of the 542 GRBs can be seen in Fig. 4. There are only a very few spectroscopic redshift observations near the


Figure 2. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the galactic longitudes for GRBs in this study. Purple (green) indicates the distributions of GRBs found in the northern (southern) galactic hemispheres. The difference between the two CDFs is insignificant ( $p=0.32$ ).


Figure 3. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the galactic latitude magnitudes for GRBs in this study. Purple (green) indicates the distributions of GRBs found in the northern (southern) galactic hemispheres. The difference between the two CDFs is not significant.


Figure 4. The sky distribution of the 542 analysed GRBs.
galactic plane as the visible gap for the low galactic $|b|$ coordinates shows. This reduced observational probability area will generate low bootstrap point-radius counts, hence it will introduce large Poisson noise into the statistics. Avoiding this we analyse the two galactic hemispheres separately. This separation will reduce the method's sensitivity for any clustering around the galactic equator, where the low observational probability (which showed a low number of GRBs) would anyway prevent any statistically reliable detection.


Figure 5. The bootstrap probabilities from the Northern hemisphere on the ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{A}$ ) plane. White $p \geq 0.08$, light blue $0.08>p \geq 0.05$, blue $0.05>p \geq$ 0.03 , red $0.03>p \geq 0.01$. Only blue and red blocks are significant.

### 2.2 Method of analysis

In order to test for the existence of clustering in the current data base while accounting for known sampling biases, we apply the bootstrap point-radius method. This method was described in section 5 of Horváth, Hakkila \& Bagoly (2014). A similar analysis is performed here, albeit for a much larger data set (as explained in Section 3).

The bootstrap point-radius method searches for an overdensity in the point distribution. We choose a slice of $n$ consecutive GRBs in their radial $(z)$ distribution, starting with position $k$, and determine the number of GRBs within a volume defined by a spherical surface A. We refer to this surface as a spherical cap. We look for the $K$ maximum number of GRBs within the spherical cap, for all $k$ radial starting position and for all spherical cap's centre position on the sky, therefore $K$ will depend on the given $A$ cap area and $n$ slice size only.

During the analysis we assume that the sky exposure is independent of $z$ (e.g. this was shown in Bagoly et al. 2022). Hence the same sky exposure factor was assumed within a given spherical cap for the slice and the other GRBs outside the slice, and differences in the spatial distribution could be analysed.

For the spherical cap centres a HEALPIX partition (Górski et al. 2005) was chosen with $N=6$, providing 49152 quasi-isotropic positions. The average distance between them is $\approx 10$ times smaller than the average distance between the GRBs, providing good coverage.

We do a Monte Carlo simulation by mixing the radial and angular positions 1000 times and repeating the process. Here, we also select the largest number of GRBs found $(K)$ within a given spherical cap size $A$ and slice size $n$, and compare them with the real $K$ value (for more details about this method see our previous works, Horváth, Hakkila \& Bagoly 2014; Horváth et al. 2015, where the same method was applied). We should mention that for similar $A$ and $n$ values the distribution is expected to be similar (cf. Fig. 5).

The method will be sensitive to a density enhancement in a radial slice within a given angular area. Clearly, the distribution of $K$ depends on the angular two-point correlation function filtered by the spherical cap scale. The numbers inside and outside the slice


Figure 6. The five GRBs on the Northern hemisphere within $0.59 \leq z \leq$ 0.62 .
will depend non-linearly on the correlation function because of the maximum cut-off. Also, the geometrical change in the angular diameter distance with the cosmological co-moving distance makes the real connection with the spatial two-point correlation function more complicated.

## 3 RESULTS

### 3.1 Northern galactic hemisphere

Part of the results, the $K(n, A)$ function, for the northern galactic hemisphere can be seen in Table A1. The complete $K(n, A)$ function can be found in the supplement materials. To calculate the probability of getting the number $K$ (for a certain $n$ and $A$ ), we apply the bootstrap method. We do this by retaining the sky position of the GRB and replacing its redshift with that of another GRB selected randomly from the sample. We do this for all 262 GRBs in the northern galactic hemisphere. We repeat the process as discussed in Section 2.2 with this configuration and recalculate $K$ (with the same $n$ and $A$ ). We repeat this process 1000 times, counting how many times $K$ is greater than or equal to the measured value of $K$. We denote this number as $P$, and $P / 1000$ estimates the probability of measuring a count of $K$ or larger.

Table A2 summarizes the probabilities of our analysis. Fig. 5 shows also these results. There are three separated area in the $n, A$ plane on which clustering is found to occur, indicative of significant deviations from isotropy/homogeneity. Notice that the light blue dots are not significant.

The first of these occurs for $n=5$ and $A=0.0628(0.02 \times \pi$ sr), with an observed $K=4$. Only one case ( $5,0.0628$ ) reached the significant level in our analysis, with the bursts found within a redshift range of $0.59 \leq z \leq 0.62$. Fig. 6 shows the locations of these five GRBs on the sphere of the sky. We ran another 3000 bootstraps and only 47 runs (from the total 4000 runs) reached $K=4$, indicating that the probability of getting this fluctuation by chance is only 0.01175 . We also checked the $n=5$ and $A=0.0628$ parameters on the Southern hemisphere. For 4000 bootstrap runs only 37 reached $K=4$, therefore the probability of getting this result by chance is even smaller $(p=0.00925)$. Table 1 shows the properties of these five GRBs. Four out of the five GRBs in this group are positioned close to each other, raising the question of whether these GRBs or their host galaxies have something in common. We examined this by looking for similar properties of the GRBs and their host galaxies in literature data. We found $T 90$ durations in the range $\sim 35-365$ s classifying all these as long gamma-ray bursts (LGRBs), with peak energies of similar magnitudes $E_{p, \text { obs }}$ from $\sim 95-137 \mathrm{keV}$ (Xue, Zhang \& Zhu

Table 1. This table shows the five GRBs on the Northern hemisphere in the $0.59 \leq z \leq 0.62$ redshift range. GRB ID, redshift $(z)$, co-moving distance (d), galactic coordinates (land b).

| GRB name | $z$ | $d(\mathrm{Mpc})$ | $l$ | $b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| GRB150323A | 0.593 | 2209 | 174.802 | 36.297 |
| GRB100213B | 0.604 | 2244 | 176.928 | 33.339 |
| GRB050525A | 0.6063 | 2251 | 54.953 | 15.545 |
| GRB070612 | 0.617 | 2285 | 183.626 | 30.051 |
| GRB110106B | 0.618 | 2288 | 172.908 | 40.449 |

Table 2. This table shows the four highest probabilities on the zone of $n=$ 97-113 and $A=1.9-2.1 . n$ is the number of GRBs in the redshift range, $A$ is the circle size in steradian, $p$ is the bootstrap frequency, $K$ is the maximal GRB's number in the circle, and $z 1$ and $z 2$ are the minimal and maximal redshifts.

| $n$ | $A$ | $p$ | $K$ | z1 | z2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | 1.948 | 0.02 | 67 | 0.959 | 2.1 |
| 104 | 1.948 | 0.017 | 69 | 0.938 | 2.1 |
| 104 | 2.011 | 0.021 | 70 | 0.938 | 2.1 |
| 108 | 1.948 | 0.021 | 71 | 0.885 | 2.1 |

2019; Tarnopolski \& Marchenko 2021). Bi et al. (2018) calculated a star formation rate $S F R=81 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ and a metallicity $\log \left(Z / Z_{\odot}\right)=$ -0.4 for the host galaxy of GRB070612, supporting the high-mass collapsar model for LGRBs. GRB Coordinates Network reports, however, suggest differences in the metallicities of the four GRBs, with some metal lines only appearing in some of the spectra $[\mathrm{MgI}$, Mg II, and Fe II in the case of GRB150323A, and CaH and K , and C I in the case of GRB110106B (Cenko et al. 2007; Cucchiara et al. 2010; Chornock, Berger \& Fox 2011; Perley \& Cenko 2015)].

The second suspicious area is around $41 \leq n \leq 47,1.6 \leq A \leq$ 1.9 , with K being typically $29 \leq K \leq 32$. Although the bootstrap probabilities are close to be significant in a bigger (n, A) area $(36 \leq$ $n \leq 57,1.57 \leq A \leq 1.95$ ), only two neighbouring points reach the minimal significant limit ( 5 per cent): $n=43, A=1.7, p=0.038$ and $n=43, A=1.728, p=0.048$. This cluster is found in the redshift range $0.9 \leq z \leq 1.3$. Because it is only marginally significant ( $p$ $\leq 0.04-0.08$ ), with only two points having small significance, we consider this cluster as only rising to the level of being suspicious. However, as we will see, the third area includes this part of the analysed parameter space in both redshift and sky position. It is worth noting that the sky area of this cluster corresponds to the position of the HCBGW (Horváth, Hakkila \& Bagoly 2014; Horváth et al. 2015, 2020).

The third area indicates anisotropies on a large angular scale, about $n=97-113$ and $1.9 \leq A \leq 2.1$, where the probabilities are less than $1-5$ per cent. Table 2 shows the four most significant points in the ( $n, A$ ) parameter space. For the $n=104, A=1.948$ we run another 3000 bootstraps and only 71 runs (from the total 4000 runs) reached $K=69$, indicating that the probability of getting this fluctuation by chance is only 0.01775 .19 checked points had $p$ less than 3 per cent (red squares in Fig. 5).

Fig. 7 shows the 104 GRBs distribution on celestial sphere. 69 of them are concentrated in 31 per cent of the hemisphere area. The redshift range of this cluster is about $0.9 \leq z \leq 2.1$. This volume contains the previously identified HCBGW along with the second suspicious region (around $n=43-47, A=1.6-1.7,0.9 \leq z \leq 1.3$ ), which was discussed in this section previously.


Figure 7. The Northern hemisphere's (extended) Hercules-Corona Borealis Great Wall. The redshift range is $0.938 \leq z \leq 2.101$.


Figure 8. The bootstrap probabilities of the Southern hemisphere on the ( $n$, A) plane. White $p \geq 0.08$, light blue $0.08>p \geq 0.05$, blue $0.05>p \geq 0.03$, red $0.03>p \geq 0.01$. Only blue and red dots are significant.

### 3.2 Southern galactic hemisphere

The results for the southern galactic hemisphere can be seen in Table A3, namely the $K \mathrm{~s}$. The entire results of the analysis can be found in the supplement materials. The southern galactic hemisphere probabilities are shown in Table A4. Fig. 8 also demonstrates the bootstrap probabilities.

The first statistically interesting clustering is identified by $n=19$, $A=0.4396$, and $K=10$. The redshift range for this group is $0.748-$ 0.8595 . This structure has been already discovered by Balázs et al. (2015), and is shown in Fig. 9. In Balázs et al. (2015) the redshift range was $0.78<z<0.86$. Nine GRBs out of the 15 were in a small area. Now we have 10 GRBs out of the 19 in the same area. The significance is 96.3 per cent, see the blue dot in Fig. 8, and the blue number in Table A3 ( 0.037 in $n=19, A=0.4396$ ). It is still significant, however not that much as Balázs et al. (2015) found.

The second statistically interesting Southern hemisphere structure is found for $n=26, A=0.2199$, and $K=9$. The redshift range is $1.171<z<1.444$ and the significance is 96.9 percent. The second most significant value in this area is $p=0.035$ for $n=26$,


Figure 9. The figure shows the 19 GRBs in the $0.748-0.8595$ redshift range (red dots) in the Southern hemisphere. This grouping was previously identified as the Giant GRB Ring.


Figure 10. 26 GRBs in the Southern hemisphere, within $1.171 \leq z \leq 1.444$.


Figure 11. The Southern hemisphere GRB sky distribution within $0.553 \leq$ $z \leq 0.8595$, cf. Fig. 9 . The red dots are the same as in Fig. 9 , the salmon dots are within $0.553 \leq z \leq 0.748$. A grouping around the Giant GRB Ring is growing.
$A=0.0942$, and $K=7$. The redshift range is the same. Notice that $n=26$ in both cases. The sky distribution of this redshift range is shown in Fig. 10. There is no previous reference to this cluster in the literature. However, due to the marginal significance and the small number of concentration (seven and nine) this could be only a statistical fluctuation.

The next significant area is about $n=38-49$ and $A=0.69-0.88$. The two most significant part (the two red dots in Fig. 8) are $n=41$, $A=0.691, K=17, p=0.024$ and $n=41, A=0.785, K=19, p=$ 0.026 . In both cases the redshift range is $0.553<z<0.8595$ (see Fig. 11). As one can see this area contains the first significant area which was about $0.748-0.8595$ redshift (the Giant GRB Ring), see red and salmon dots in Fig. 11.

Table 3. This table shows some points from the largest area of significant field of Fig. 8. $n$ is the number of GRBs in the redshift range, $A$ is the circle size in steradian, $K$ is the maximum number of GRBs in the circle, $p$ is the bootstrap frequency, and $z 1$ and $z 2$ are the minimal and maximal redshifts.

| $n$ | $A$ | $K$ | $p$ | z 1 | z 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | 0.6908 | 24 | 0.022 | 0.553 | 1.155 |
| 72 | 0.6908 | 24 | 0.027 | 0.553 | 1.170 |
| 76 | 0.6908 | 25 | 0.023 | 0.553 | 1.233 |
| 76 | 0.8792 | 29 | 0.025 | 0.553 | 1.233 |
| 77 | 0.6908 | 25 | 0.027 | 0.553 | 1.25 |
| 77 | 0.8792 | 29 | 0.031 | 0.553 | 1.25 |
| 82 | 0.6908 | 26 | 0.027 | 0.553 | 1.31 |
| 83 | 0.6908 | 26 | 0.035 | 0.553 | 1.325 |
| 83 | 0.8792 | 30 | 0.047 | 0.553 | 1.325 |
| 87 | 0.6908 | 27 | 0.031 | 0.553 | 1.350 |



Figure 12. An even larger grouping around the Giant GRB Ring within $0.553<z<1.35$. The area is about 0.7 sr. Cf. Figs 9 and 11 .

Finally, the Southern hemisphere contains a large significant concentration of GRBs with n in the range $71 \leq n \leq 77$ and most of the concentration within an angular area of around 0.7 sr . The most significant points in this area of the $p(n, A)$ plane are listed in Table 3. Also see the seven red dots in the left middle in Fig. 8. For the sky distribution of this cluster see Fig. 12.

## 4 NORTH-SOUTH DIFFERENCES

The isotropies of the two hemispheres can be compared to one another by subtracting Table A3 from Table A1. The result, shown in Fig. 13, demonstrates that the two hemispheres exhibit similar, large-scale isotropy over most of the $(n, A)$ plane, as indicated by the area coloured white, where the differences are between -2 and 6 . This means the observed K distributions in the $(n, A)$ plane are similar in both hemispheres. However, there is a large region in which the difference between the distributions is three times larger than anywhere else. This suggests that, in the Northern hemisphere, there is a large area in which there are significantly more GRBs than one would expect for a homogeneous, isotropic distribution.

The bootstrap probabilities can also be studied. For example, in the case of $n=27$ and $A=0.503$, the number $K$ is 12 for both hemispheres. The bootstrap probabilities are very similar. One can make 100 bootstrap runs and study the $K$ distribution. Every bootstrap run has a largest number, which we call $K$ (see Section 2.2). This 100 $K$ s distribution can be seen in Fig. 14. In this plot, blue refers to the Northern hemisphere data and red refers to the Southern hemisphere data. In both cases, we plot four distributions (each having 100 runs). These runs do not differ very much from each other. This is also the


Figure 13. The difference between the $K_{n}$ northern and $K_{s}$ Southern hemisphere $K(n, A)$ functions. Blue $K_{n}-K_{s}=-3$, red $K_{n}-K_{s}=-2$, white $-2<K_{n}-K_{s}<6$, yellow $5<K_{n}-K_{s}<11$, green $10<K_{n}-K_{s}<$ 15 , grey $14<K_{n}-K_{s}$.


Figure 14. The difference between the Northern (reds) and Southern (blue) hemisphere K distributions in case $n=27$ and $A=0.503$. Each step function represents a hundred bootstrap runs.
case in the whole white area in Fig. 13. The K distributions are similar in most of the white area in the Northern and Southern hemispheres. Over most of the sky, the differences between the Northern and Southern hemisphere $K$ distributions are minimal. However, that is not the case in the green and grey areas in Fig. 13.

For example, the $K$ distributions are very different for $n=104$ and $A=1.95$, as seen in Fig. 15. In this case, for the observed 542 GRBs, $K$ is 69 in the Northern hemisphere and 52 in the Southern hemisphere. The bootstrap frequency (reaching 69) in the Northern hemisphere is 106 out of $5000(p=0.0212)$. However, in the Southern hemisphere, the bootstrap frequency $K$ never reaches 62 , therefore the frequency for 69 is zero. This demonstrates that the two hemisphere distributions differ very much around the 2 -sr scale, the Northern hemisphere having about 17 more GRBs in this part of the sky.


Figure 15. The difference between the Northern (right) and Southern (left) hemisphere K distributions in a case $n=104$ and $A=1.95$.

## 5 DISCUSSION

The discovery of the Sloan Great Wall (with a size of $\sim 0.4 \mathrm{Gpc}$ ) using galaxy locations (Gott III et al. 2005) ushered in a new age of identifying large-scale universal structures via luminous objects. The association of luminous quasars with galaxies has allowed even larger structures to be identified, including the Huge Large Quasar Group (Clowes et al. 2013) and the Giant Quasar Arc (Lopez, Clowes \& Williger 2022) (each with a size of 1.2 Gpc ). GRBs, with their high luminosities, have extended this capability to even larger structures. Studying the spatial distribution of GRBs, both a great wall (Horváth, Hakkila \& Bagoly 2014) and a Giant GRB Ring (Balázs et al. 2015) were identified.

Because it is hard to collect unbiased survey data for galaxies and quasars, searches for large-scale groupings of these astronomical objects have relied on studies limited to specific spatial regions. However, since GRBs are mostly identified from large-scale surveys, it is easier to use them for examining large-scale deviations from isotropy and homogeneity over the full sky. In this manuscript, we have shown how deviations from large-scale universal homogeneity can be studied using all available information pertaining to GRBs with known redshifts. Although the search for GRBs has taken place with great uniformity across the sky, a bias exists against measuring GRB redshifts close to the galactic plane. Only a few GRBs with redshifts have been detectable in this region. To minimize this known bias, our approach is to separately study spatial distributions in the northern and southern galactic hemispheres.

Using the method described in Section 2.2 we find two suspicious anisotropic regions in each hemisphere.

### 5.1 Northern hemisphere

The Northern hemisphere contains a cluster of five GRBs in the narrow redshift range ( $0.59 \leq z \leq 0.62$ ) (see Table 1). Of these five, four are found in a small area (see Fig. 6).

The second suspicious redshift range is about $0.9-1.3$, which contains $n=43-47$ GRBs, out of them $30-33$ is in an $A=1.6-$ 1.7 sr size circle. These GRBs are in the same area of the sky, where the HCBGW has been identified, except the HCBGW is farther away $(1.6<z<2.1)$. However, the significance of this GRB group is weak in our present analysis.

The third grouping contains the second group $(0.9<z<1.3)$ since it spans the $0.9-2.1$ redshift range. The sky position is the same for both case. The bootstrap method shows significance in a huge
area of the n, A parameter space; $97<n<113$ and $1.9<A<2.1$. For example, 69 out of the 104 GRBs are concentrated in the area around the Hercules and the Corona Borealis constellations in the sky. The size of this volume (in co-moving distance) is $2.5 \times 3 \times 3$ Mpc or $8 \times 10 \times 10$ Gly, which contains 40 per cent more GRBs than expected.

In conclusion, in the Northern hemisphere we find a group of four GRBs and an extended space of the HCBGW. Based on data collected several years ago, the HCBGW appeared three to four times smaller in radial size than we now measure it to be. Now we find the radial size to be comparable with the other two dimensions.

### 5.2 Southern hemisphere

There are four suspicious ranges in the Southern hemisphere (see Fig. 8).

One is $n=26, A=0.2199$, and $K=9$. The redshift range is 1.171 $<z<1.444$ and the significance is 96.9 per cent. See Fig. 10 for this group which contains nine GRBs. However, because of the small group number (nine) and a weak significance ( $p=0.031$ ) this could happened by chance.

The other three significant ranges are boxed into each other. Therefore these refer only to one GRB grouping.

As we discussed in Section 3.2 the redshift ranges are $0.748-$ $0.8595(K=10), 0.553<z<0.8595(K=17)$, and $0.553<z<$ 1.2-1.3 ( $K=24-29$ ).

One, which was previously found by Balázs et al. (2015), with a redshift $0.75-0.86$, containing 10 GRBs out of 19 on 0.4396 sr in the sky. The second contains 17 GRBs (out of 41 ) including the previous 10. The third group contains 24-29 GRBs out of 71-77 on a redshift range from 0.55 to about $1.2-1.3$ covering 0.7 sr (see Table 3). This volume contains the previous two volumes.

The techniques described in this manuscript have identified probable large-scale anisotropic cosmological structures using luminous GRBs. As the GRB sample size has increased, these structures have become more pronounced and new structures are beginning to appear. These results support the continued monitoring of the sky for GRBs using survey satellites.

### 5.3 Binomial probabilities and number of tries

The Binomial theorem can be used as an alternate approach to obtaining clustering probabilities. For data tested many times, the overall binomial probability is found by multiplying the individual binomial probability obtained for a measurement by the number of independent trials. However, it is hard to directly use the number of bootstrap trials to calculate a binomial probability because a great many of these trials are not independent. We might avoid this problem by employing Monte-Carlo analysis and re-analysing the data instead of identifying independent trials from our bootstrap procedure, but the latter approach is preferred because uncertainty in the sky exposure function makes the Monte Carlo approach a less reliable technique for this analysis.

The southern sky contains 280 GRBs with measured redshifts. For every circle size containing $n=100$ points, we made trials for 180 intervals. Since these intervals overlap, only a handful of them are independent. For example, the $k=1$ and $k=2$ intervals contain 100 GRBs of which 99 are the same. For $n=5$ there are 275 intervals, of which only 56 are totally independent. Similarly, we tried 116 different $n$ values ( $3 \leq n \leq 118$ ), but these were not independent because every trial with $n=16$ included two trials with $n=15$ and three trials with $n=14$, etc. Since there are only 280 Southern

Table 4. Binomial probabilities and the estimated probabilities (multiplied by the number of tries) for the Northern hemisphere ( $p_{\text {est }}$ ). K and n are the same as described in Section 2.2. Here, Arel is the relative area of the hemisphere, $p_{\text {binom }}$ is the binomial probability with $\mathrm{p}=$ Arel, n tries, and K successes. $p_{\text {est }}$ is the binomial probability multiplied by the number of independent tries,'num' is the number of significant signs in the neighbouring area shown in Fig. 5, and $p_{b s t r}$ is the bootstrap probability calculated in Section 3.1. Some of these are shown in Table 2.

| $n$ | $K$ | Arel | $p_{\text {binom }}$ | $p_{\text {est }}$ | num | $p_{\text {bstr }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 5 | 4 | 0.01 | $5 \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.0025-0.01$ | 1 | 0.021 |
| 43 | 30 | 0.27 | $7 \times 10^{-9}$ | $0.0004-0.0014$ | 2 | 0.038 |
| 101 | 67 | 0.31 | $2 \times 10^{-13}$ | $(1-4) \times 10^{-8}$ | 91 | 0.02 |
| 104 | 69 | 0.31 | $1 \times 10^{-14}$ | $<10^{-8}$ | 91 | 0.017 |
| 104 | 70 | 0.32 | $5 \times 10^{-14}$ | $<10^{-8}$ | 91 | 0.021 |
| 108 | 71 | 0.31 | $5 \times 10^{-14}$ | $<10^{-8}$ | 91 | 0.021 |

hemisphere GRBs in our sample, we can estimate the number of trials as being a few hundred.

Due to overlap, we must similarly estimate the number of independent spherical cap trials. We made 10000 position trials representing every size of an angular circle. For a circle with area 0.1 sr , some 50 could be categorized as being independent. For a circle of area 0.5 sr , only $9-10$ can be independent, and these are not independent from many previous trials like the 0.44 or the 0.56 sr circle sizes. Therefore, we can estimate the number of trials also as a few hundred. Multiplying this with the number obtained in the previous paragraph (also a few hundred) the number of trials is estimated as being between $5 \times 10^{4}$ and $2 \times 10^{5}$.

With this information we can estimate the binomial probabilities for our prominent clusters. In the Northern hemisphere, the first significant result occurs for $n=5, A=0.0628$, and $K=4$. The binomial probability with 5,4 , and $p=0.01$ (because $A=0.0628$ is one-hundredth of the half sky) is $5 \times 10^{-8}$. Since we made $5 \times 10^{4}$ or $2 \times 10^{5}$ trials, the probability is still no larger than $p=0.01$. For the case of $n=43, A=1.7$, and $K=30$, the individual $p=0.27$ and the binomial probability (for 43,30 ) is $6.9 \times 10^{-9}$. Multiplying this by $5 \times 10^{4}$ or $2 \times 10^{5}$ results in $p=(4-14) \times 10^{-4}$. For the case of $n=104, K=69$, and $A=1.948$, we find $p=0.31$, for which the binomial probability is $10^{-14}$, which is still smaller than $10^{-8}$. Table 4 contains the estimated binomial and bootstrap probabilities for each of these significant clusters.

Table 5 contains the similarly calculated binomial estimated probabilities for the Southern hemisphere. It can be seen the binomial probabilities are generally similar to or slightly less than than the bootstrap probabilities.

The last four entries in Table 4 identify binomial probabilities which are much more smaller than the corresponding bootstrap probabilities. As the table and Fig. 5 show, there are 87 more trials that show this very low estimated probabilities.

The Cosmological Principle implies that the redshift and sky distribution of GRBs in the northern and southern galactic hemispheres should be similar. However, Fig. 13 demonstrates that they are not. Although more southern galactic GRBs have been detected at present, many of the northern sky GRBs are found within the large cluster located at $1 \leq z \leq 2$. Bootstrapping events from the northern sky causes us to choose many GRBs from this concentration, which means most of the bootstrapped samples contain many GRBs from this group. Fig. 15 demonstrates this effect, where the average bootstrap numbers are larger (in average) with 10 in the Northern hemisphere than in the Southern hemisphere. Other than this, Fig. 14

Table 5. Binomial probabilities and the estimated probabilities (multiplied by the number of tries) for the Southern hemisphere $\left(p_{\text {est }}\right) . \mathrm{K}$ and n are the same as described in Section 2.2. Here, Arel is the relative area of the hemisphere, $p_{\text {binom }}$ is the binomial probability with $\mathrm{p}=$ Arel, n tries, and K successes. $p_{\text {est }}$ is the binomial probability multiplied by the number of tries,'num' is the number of significant signs in the neighbouring areas shown in Fig. 8. $p_{b s t r}$ is the bootstrap probabilities which were calculated in Section 3.2. Some of these are shown in Table 3.

| $n$ | $K$ | Arel | $p_{\text {binom }}$ | $p_{\text {est }}$ | num | $p_{\text {bstr }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 10 | 0.07 | $1.45 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.007-0.03$ | 1 | 0.037 |
| 26 | 9 | 0.035 | $1.43 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.007-0.03$ | 5 | 0.031 |
| 26 | 7 | 0.015 | $9 \times 10^{-8}$ | $0.005-0.018$ | 5 | 0.035 |
| 41 | 19 | 0.125 | $1.06 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.005-0.02$ | 13 | 0.026 |
| 71 | 24 | 0.11 | $2.8 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.014-0.05$ | 60 | 0.022 |
| 72 | 24 | 0.11 | $3.8 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.019-0.07$ | 60 | 0.027 |
| 76 | 25 | 0.11 | $2.9 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.015-0.06$ | 60 | 0.023 |
| 77 | 25 | 0.11 | $3.88 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.019-0.07$ | 60 | 0.027 |
| 82 | 26 | 0.11 | $3.87 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.019-0.07$ | 60 | 0.027 |
| 83 | 26 | 0.11 | $5.04 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.025-0.1$ | 60 | 0.035 |
| 87 | 27 | 0.11 | $3.79 \times 10^{-7}$ | $0.019-0.07$ | 60 | 0.031 |

demonstrates that most samples in the two hemispheres that are bootstrapped $K$ (with the same $n$ and $A$ ) do not differ by much. This suggests that the bootstrap probabilities tend to overestimate the significance of features relative to the values that are obtained using the binomial estimate.

## 6 SUMMARY

Our method investigated the 542 GRBs having observed redshift. We vary the redshift, the group size, and the area of the sky. In this 3D parameter space we found several suspicious regions. One in the northern galactic sky contains 4 GRBs with 1.2 per cent probability of having this by chance (see Fig. 6). In the Southern hemisphere there is another small group having seven or nine GRBs, but the significance in both cases does not reach even the 3 per cent level (see Fig. 10).

However, there were two groups (one in each hemisphere), where the clustering yielded several significant results in the $(n, A)$ plane (red blocks in Figs 5 and 8). In the Southern hemisphere there are three areas in the $(n, A)$ space. From these areas one area's small part was previously identified as the Giant GRB Ring (Balázs et al. 2015; Balázs, Rejtő \& Tusnády 2018) (see Figs 9, 11, and 12). In the Northern hemisphere a huge part of the $(n, A)$ plane suggested a group (having 69-71 GRBs out of the $104-108$, see Table 2) in a 31 per cent area of the hemisphere (Fig. 7). A part of this structure was identified previously in the literature as the HCBGW (Horváth, Hakkila \& Bagoly 2014; Horváth et al. 2015).
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## APPENDIX: TABLES

Table A1. This table shows the number K depending on n and the area of the circle (A, in steradian) for the Northern hemisphere. See Section 3.1 for more details. See the complete table as a supplement material.

| ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{A}}$ | $0.0628$ | 0.1256 | 0.188 | 0.251 | 0.314 | 0.376 | 0.439 | 0.502 | 0.565 | 0.628 | 0.691 | 0.754 | 0.816 | 0.879 | 0.942 | 1.005 | 1.068 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| 11 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 12 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| 13 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 14 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| 15 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 16 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| 17 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| 18 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
| 19 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| 20 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| 21 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| 22 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 23 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| 24 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| 25 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 |

Table A2. This table shows the bootstrap probabilities (frequencies) for a certain $n$ and the area of the circle (A, in steradian) for the Northern hemisphere. See Section 3.1 for more details. Here, we are using the same colour code as Fig. 5 used. See the complete table as a supplement material.

| n | A | 0.0628 | 0.094 | 0.1256 | 0.157 | 0.188 | 0.22 | 0.251 | 0.283 | 0.314 | 0.346 | 0.377 | 0.408 | 0.439 | 0.471 | 0.502 | 0.534 | 0.565 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.974 | 0.99 | 0.996 | 0.998 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.608 | 0.867 | 0.978 | 0.995 | 0.999 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.763 | 0.838 | 0.89 | 0.935 | 0.957 | 0.971 | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.021 | 0.09 | 0.197 | 0.347 | 0.489 | 0.629 | 0.772 | 0.871 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.991 | 0.997 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 0.062 | 0.205 | 0.399 | 0.622 | 0.798 | 0.907 | 0.969 | 0.989 | 0.995 | 0.999 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.122 | 0.347 | 0.634 | 0.838 | 0.946 | 0.984 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.855 | 0.919 | 0.959 | 0.981 | 0.989 | 0.995 | 0.999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.2 | 0.503 | 0.8 | 0.947 | 0.989 | 0.999 | 1 | 1 | 0.862 | 0.932 | 0.98 | 0.989 | 0.998 | 1 | 1 | 0.741 | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 0.296 | 0.635 | 0.906 | 0.986 | 0.999 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.963 | 0.993 | 0.997 | 0.999 | 1 | 1 | 0.874 | 0.931 | 0.966 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0.407 | 0.773 | 0.961 | 0.998 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.983 | 0.997 | 0.598 | 0.727 | 0.832 | 0.908 | 0.968 | 0.985 | 0.994 | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 0.497 | 0.116 | 0.277 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 0.894 | 0.979 | 0.999 | 1 | 0.774 | 0.886 | 0.944 | 0.972 | 0.533 | 0.636 | 0.728 | 0.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 0.617 | 0.17 | 0.398 | 0.683 | 0.871 | 0.964 | 0.996 | 1 | 1 | 0.906 | 0.965 | 0.989 | 0.997 | 0.745 | 0.833 | 0.893 | 0.948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 0.714 | 0.239 | 0.511 | 0.796 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1 | 0.787 | 0.917 | 0.974 | 0.997 | 1 | 1 | 0.886 | 0.948 | 0.978 | 0.988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 0.781 | 0.319 | 0.634 | 0.877 | 0.977 | 0.999 | 1 | 0.878 | 0.97 | 0.994 | 0.999 | 1 | 1 | 0.954 | 0.984 | 0.994 | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0.843 | 0.401 | 0.74 | 0.938 | 0.481 | 0.686 | 0.842 | 0.944 | 0.989 | 0.698 | 0.834 | 0.931 | 0.978 | 0.608 | 0.721 | 0.832 | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0.901 | 0.476 | 0.817 | 0.971 | 0.598 | 0.795 | 0.932 | 0.984 | 0.996 | 0.82 | 0.929 | 0.98 | 0.995 | 0.763 | 0.87 | 0.936 | 0.969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 0.937 | 0.566 | 0.888 | 0.993 | 0.707 | 0.877 | 0.973 | 0.999 | 1 | 0.903 | 0.976 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 0.982 | 0.992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 0.959 | 0.639 | 0.927 | 0.998 | 0.799 | 0.932 | 0.994 | 0.745 | 0.887 | 0.967 | 0.606 | 0.751 | 0.881 | 0.458 | 0.585 | 0.708 | 0.825 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 0.978 | 0.715 | 0.967 | 0.999 | 0.874 | 0.968 | 0.999 | 0.837 | 0.94 | 0.988 | 0.735 | 0.864 | 0.951 | 0.609 | 0.732 | 0.842 | 0.928 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.987 | 0.778 | 0.979 | 0.999 | 0.919 | 0.984 | 0.999 | 0.907 | 0.975 | 0.996 | 0.851 | 0.944 | 0.99 | 0.733 | 0.85 | 0.933 | 0.974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 0.993 | 0.833 | 0.987 | 0.999 | 0.384 | 0.641 | 0.861 | 0.963 | 0.995 | 0.79 | 0.933 | 0.988 | 0.998 | 0.844 | 0.928 | 0.973 | 0.988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 0.996 | 0.877 | 0.994 | 0.999 | 0.461 | 0.732 | 0.921 | 0.984 | 0.998 | 0.885 | 0.973 | 0.996 | 0.828 | 0.447 | 0.577 | 0.711 | 0.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A3. This table shows the number K depending on $n$ and the area of the circle (A, in steradian) for the Southern hemisphere. See Section 3.2 for more details. See the complete table as a supplement material.

|  | 0.0628 | 0.1256 | 0.188 | 0.251 | 0.314 | 0.376 | 0.439 | 0.502 | 0.565 | 0.628 | 0.691 | 0.754 | 0.816 | 0.879 | 0.942 | 1.005 | 1.068 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 8 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 9 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 10 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 11 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 12 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| 13 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 14 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| 15 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| 16 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 17 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 18 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 19 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| 20 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 21 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 22 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 |
| 23 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 |
| 24 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| 25 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| 26 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| 27 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| 28 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| 29 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 |

Table A4. This table shows the bootstrap probabilities (frequencies) for a certain $n$ and the area of the circle (in steradian) for the Southern hemisphere. Here, we are using the same colour code as Fig. 8 used. See Section 3.2 for more details. See the complete table as a supplement material.

|  | $0.0628$ | 0.094 | 0.1256 | 0.157 | 0.188 | 0.22 | 0.251 | 0.283 | 0.314 | 0.346 | 0.377 | 0.408 | 0.439 | 0.471 | 0.502 | 0.534 | 0.565 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0.691 | 0.824 | 0.912 | 0.957 | 0.982 | 0.996 | 0.999 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0.587 | 0.833 | 0.951 | 0.987 | 0.997 | 0.215 | 0.291 | 0.372 | 0.476 | 0.566 | 0.648 | 0.723 | 0.78 | 0.849 | 0.891 | 0.929 | 0.952 |
| 5 | 0.801 | 0.966 | 0.999 | 1 | 0.408 | 0.583 | 0.712 | 0.805 | 0.061 | 0.092 | 0.119 | 0.145 | 0.178 | 0.233 | 0.287 | 0.349 | 0.399 |
| 6 | 0.937 | 0.997 | 1 | 1 | 0.691 | 0.835 | 0.923 | 0.965 | 0.211 | 0.298 | 0.378 | 0.453 | 0.533 | 0.635 | 0.716 | 0.784 | 0.836 |
| 7 | 0.986 | 0.328 | 0.53 | 0.728 | 0.867 | 0.952 | 0.987 | 0.337 | 0.459 | 0.59 | 0.702 | 0.807 | 0.873 | 0.919 | 0.953 | 0.232 | 0.281 |
| 8 | 0.996 | 0.456 | 0.7 | 0.88 | 0.964 | 0.992 | 0.999 | 0.554 | 0.065 | 0.099 | 0.154 | 0.206 | 0.269 | 0.361 | 0.443 | 0.515 | 0.609 |
| 9 | 0.999 | 0.594 | 0.838 | 0.968 | 0.297 | 0.459 | 0.625 | 0.777 | 0.163 | 0.238 | 0.323 | 0.416 | 0.521 | 0.627 | 0.729 | 0.804 | 0.87 |
| 10 | 0.999 | 0.736 | 0.925 | 0.99 | 0.446 | 0.657 | 0.82 | 0.923 | 0.289 | 0.403 | 0.532 | 0.638 | 0.742 | 0.824 | 0.908 | 0.948 | 0.968 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.842 | 0.973 | 0.997 | 0.607 | 0.817 | 0.924 | 0.98 | 0.446 | 0.587 | 0.729 | 0.823 | 0.897 | 0.337 | 0.423 | 0.509 | 0.593 |
| 12 | 0.52 | 0.908 | 0.99 | 0.523 | 0.75 | 0.195 | 0.305 | 0.444 | 0.618 | 0.771 | 0.872 | 0.928 | 0.388 | 0.497 | 0.595 | 0.715 | 0.794 |
| 13 | 0.598 | 0.943 | 0.997 | 0.639 | 0.144 | 0.288 | 0.437 | 0.62 | 0.773 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0.981 | 0.576 | 0.69 | 0.783 | 0.874 | 0.931 |
| 14 | 0.683 | 0.964 | 0.998 | 0.746 | 0.216 | 0.391 | 0.552 | 0.745 | 0.865 | 0.958 | 0.475 | 0.607 | 0.155 | 0.226 | 0.308 | 0.404 | 0.496 |
| 15 | 0.765 | 0.294 | 0.57 | 0.842 | 0.298 | 0.509 | 0.699 | 0.864 | 0.944 | 0.478 | 0.628 | 0.751 | 0.262 | 0.372 | 0.468 | 0.584 | 0.685 |
| 16 | 0.83 | 0.397 | 0.692 | 0.911 | 0.421 | 0.634 | 0.815 | 0.94 | 0.977 | 0.629 | 0.758 | 0.264 | 0.052 | 0.088 | 0.132 | 0.189 | 0.262 |
| 17 | 0.867 | 0.468 | 0.765 | 0.95 | 0.518 | 0.731 | 0.888 | 0.382 | 0.563 | 0.734 | 0.272 | 0.385 | 0.098 | 0.156 | 0.227 | 0.312 | 0.398 |
| 18 | 0.142 | 0.554 | 0.841 | 0.971 | 0.614 | 0.833 | 0.949 | 0.501 | 0.687 | 0.848 | 0.373 | 0.513 | 0.156 | 0.244 | 0.337 | 0.443 | 0.538 |
| 19 | 0.176 | 0.631 | 0.895 | 0.984 | 0.714 | 0.272 | 0.42 | 0.621 | 0.792 | 0.923 | 0.477 | 0.146 | 0.037 | 0.064 | 0.101 | 0.147 | 0.205 |
| 20 | 0.215 | 0.695 | 0.938 | 0.993 | 0.794 | 0.345 | 0.52 | 0.736 | 0.886 | 0.965 | 0.592 | 0.206 | 0.061 | 0.101 | 0.158 | 0.217 | 0.285 |
| 21 | 0.263 | 0.758 | 0.315 | 0.621 | 0.851 | 0.427 | 0.612 | 0.819 | 0.935 | 0.984 | 0.699 | 0.284 | 0.092 | 0.153 | 0.234 | 0.32 | 0.405 |
| 22 | 0.322 | 0.141 | 0.396 | 0.709 | 0.304 | 0.073 | 0.16 | 0.297 | 0.465 | 0.658 | 0.799 | 0.379 | 0.125 | 0.207 | 0.303 | 0.405 | 0.511 |
| 23 | 0.36 | 0.17 | 0.464 | 0.771 | 0.355 | 0.11 | 0.221 | 0.379 | 0.573 | 0.766 | 0.874 | 0.484 | 0.189 | 0.284 | 0.397 | 0.532 | 0.646 |
| 24 | 0.414 | 0.198 | 0.522 | 0.833 | 0.418 | 0.141 | 0.269 | 0.454 | 0.68 | 0.844 | 0.932 | 0.588 | 0.259 | 0.365 | 0.503 | 0.213 | 0.294 |
| 25 | 0.461 | 0.26 | 0.598 | 0.871 | 0.491 | 0.192 | 0.361 | 0.562 | 0.229 | 0.384 | 0.542 | 0.217 | 0.066 | 0.122 | 0.191 | 0.28 | 0.381 |
| 26 | 0.046 | 0.035 | 0.12 | 0.312 | 0.108 | 0.031 | 0.071 | 0.157 | 0.303 | 0.48 | 0.635 | 0.288 | 0.105 | 0.169 | 0.254 | 0.368 | 0.484 |
| 27 | 0.054 | 0.048 | 0.156 | 0.366 | 0.134 | 0.045 | 0.104 | 0.206 | 0.058 | 0.135 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.147 | 0.221 | 0.332 | 0.124 | 0.183 |
| 28 | 0.063 | 0.055 | 0.192 | 0.427 | 0.169 | 0.062 | 0.139 | 0.253 | 0.086 | 0.172 | 0.305 | 0.446 | 0.19 | 0.287 | 0.417 | 0.181 | 0.254 |

This paper has been typeset from a $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{LA} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ file prepared by the author.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ https://www.mpe.mpg.de/jcg/grbgen.html
    ${ }^{2}$ Published by the Caltech Astronomy Department: http://www.astro.caltech. edu/grbox/grbox.php.

